

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/653,801	09/03/2003	Terry G. Jones	HORS:008	4550	
29395	7590 07/03/2006		EXAMINER		
H. DALE LANGLEY, JR.			CHIN, RANDALL E		
THE LAW FII	RM OF H. DALE LANG 'NN	JLEY, JR. PC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78703			1744		

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/653,801	JONES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Randall Chin	1744		

	Randall Chin	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	Walling the time period correction in t	,, 0, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>5</u> would be allowallowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: 5.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,16 and 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-15</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	1
		Randall Chin	
	$\nu$	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 includes new issues, specifically, that "the cleaning surface having a generally linear configuration, the head having a first and a second end", "with the first end and the second end linearly and opposingly disposed in the head at extents of the generally linear cleaning surface thereof from the centrally connected handle", and "wherein the head is linearly extendable at the first end and the second end to selectively widen length of the linear configuration of the cleaning surface and contractable to selectively narrow length of the linear configuration of the cleaning surface". Note, there are grammatical errors here for "selectively widen length" and "to selectively narrow length".

Continuation of 13. Other: The status identifier for claim 16 recites "(currently amended)", however, there appears to be no amendment to the claim.